## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DE	EPARTMENT/AGENC	Arkansas insurance	Department				
DI	VISION	Legal					
DI	VISION DIRECTOR	Jim Brader					
CC	ONTACT PERSON	Amanda Rose					
ΑĽ	DDRESS	1 Commerce Way,	Suite 102, Little	Rock, AR	72202		
NA	IONE NO. 2501-371 AME OF PRESENTER EETING		371-2639 Ama	E- MAIL nda Rose	amanda.	rose@arkansas.gov	
PR	RESENTER E-MAIL	amanda.rose@arkansa	S.gov				
			TRUCTIONS				
	C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below.  D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:  Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor						
	Little Rock,  **********  What is the short title of e?	**************************************	************ uitability in Ann			*****	
2. rul	What is the subject of the?		suitability of anr	nuity transac	ctions for c	onsumers.	
	Is this rule required to co. If yes, please provide the	• •		_	Yes   n/a	No 🔀	
4.	Was this rule filed under Procedure Act? If yes, what is the effectile?	<b>C</b> • 1			Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
ex	When does the emergend spire?	cy rule <u>n/a</u>					

	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?  Yes No						
5.	Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.						
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does. $\underline{n/a}$						
rul	Is this an amendment to an existing e?  Yes No No Substantive changes attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."						
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. §§ 23-61-108, 23-66-207, and 23-66-307						
uporef to	7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? This Proposed Amended Rule will update our existing Rule 82 regarding suitability in the sale of annuities to consumers. This amendment reflects the most current changes to the NAIC model regulation. The Rule is intended to provide guidance to producers to assist in determining the appropriateness of a specific annuity product for a particular consumer.						
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). <a href="https://insurance.arkansas.gov/pages/industry-regulation/legal/proposed-rules/">https://insurance.arkansas.gov/pages/industry-regulation/legal/proposed-rules/</a>						
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No Date: <u>TBA</u>						
	Time:						
	Place: Arkansas Insurance Department						
	. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.) nuary 31, 2020 [tentative]						
	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)  Earch 15, 2020						
12	. Do you expect this rule to be controversial? Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, please explain. n/a						

/a			

## FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT DIVISION				ance Departme	nt			
			Legal  CTING THIS STATEMENT Amanda Rose					
TE	LEPH	ONE NO.	501-371-2828	_FAX NO. <u>371</u>	<u>-2639</u> <b>EMAIL:</b> <u>ama</u>	anda.rose@a	rkansas.gov_	
					ease complete the following and proposed rules.	g Financial I	mpact	
SH	IORT	TITLE OF	THIS RULE	Rule 82 - Sui	tability in Annuity Transac	ctions		
1.	Does	this propose	d, amended, or	repealed rule h	ave a financial impact?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
2.	. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?  Yes  No						No 🗌	
3.			of the alternative he least costly r		vas this rule determined by?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:							
	(a)	How the ado	litional benefits	of the more co	stly rule justify its addition	nal cost;		
	(b)	The reason in/a	for adoption of	the more costly	rule;			
	(c)	Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or if so, please explain; and; n/a				welfare, and		
	(d)	d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain. n/a						
4.	If the	purpose of th	nis rule is to imp	lement a federal	rule or regulation, please sta	ate the follow	ing:	
	(a)	(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?						
	<b>Current Fiscal Year</b>			Next Fiscal Year				
	Fede Casl Spec	eral Revenueral Funds n Funds cial Revenue er (Identify)	n/a n/a		General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a		

Total	n/a	Total	n/a			
(b) What is the ad	ditional cost of the state rule?					
Current Fiscal Y	<u>ear</u>	Next Fiscal Year				
General Revenue	n/a	General Revenue	n/a			
Federal Fun s	$\frac{n/a}{n/a}$	Federal Funds	n/a			
Cash Funds	n/a	Cash Funds	n/a			
Special Revenue	$\frac{n}{n}$	Special Revenue	n/a			
Other (Identify)	n/a	Other (Identify)	n/a			
Total	n/a	Total	n/a			
	stimated cost by fiscal year to nded, or repealed rule? Identified re affected.		the proposed rule and			
			<u></u>			
\$ <u>n/a</u>		\$ <u>n/a</u>				
There should not be a	ny substantial financial impac	t.				
affected.  Current Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Y	ear_			
\$ <u>n/a</u>		\$ <u>n/a</u>				
There should not be a	ny substantial financial impac	t.				
or obligation of at private entity, priv	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?					
		Yes No No				
time of filing the f	If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:					
(1) a statement of	(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;					
	of the factual evidence that: s the agency's need for the pro	oposed rule; and				

- (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
  - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
  - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
  - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.